



Electro Static Precipitators Optimization and Issues: Case Study Recovery Boilers

Ezzat Jaroudi

Honghi Tran, Greg Evans

2018 A&WMA Ontario Section Conference Emission Reduction Controls and Strategies -Guelph-

Electrostatic Precipitators (ESP)

- Filtration devices to remove fine particulate from gases (smoke, dust), or collect valuable material
- Electrostatic attraction to remove particulate matter



Courtesy of Valmet

ESP Operating Principles





Advantages of ESP

Treat large volume flow rates of flue gas

Low pressure drop

Robust

High particle removal efficiency (>99.5%)

Problems of ESP



Reduction in efficiency from:

- Poor removal of buildup
- Changes in properties of flue gas and ash

Past Work

- Computer Models
- Full scale studies
- Lab scale studies



Coal and biomass boilers (Oxides)

Resistivity of ash

Full scale study



Recovery boiler (Alkali Salts)



<u>Measure the efficiency</u> under different recovery boiler operating conditions, and determine how each affects performance

- Design and Validate bench scale ESP
- Use setup Investigate effect on collection efficiency of
 - **1.** Particle composition
 - 2. Flue gas condition (Temperature and Moisture content)

Bench Scale ESP

- Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS)
 - Particle number concentration
 - Particle size distribution (0.3-10µm)
 - Typically used for ambient air measurements
- Heating Jacket to control ESP temperature
- Ammeter to measure current through ESP



Bench Scale ESP Proof of Concept

Smoke Generated from Smoldering Wood

No Voltage

High Voltage

Low Voltage







Some Smoke Visible Arcing Less Frequent

Thick Smoke Visible

No Smoke Visible Arcing Audible

Sample Generation Using Ash From Full Scale ESP



Bench Scale ESP Validation

Curve fit: Eff =
$$[1 - \exp^{(-0.0168 \times \text{voltage}^2)}] \times 100$$

R² = 0.961



Buildup on ESP Collection Plate

Cross section of cylinder cleaned after the end of experiment shows contrast with uncleaned cylinder where deposits build up in ESP



Effect of Buildup on Collection Efficiency



Conditioning of Sample



Chemical Composition

	% by weight					
	к	Na	CI	SO₄	CO ₃	Referred to in this presentation as
Low Carbonate Low Chloride	7	29	1	62	4	Typical Ash
High Carbonate Low Chloride	5	32	1	43	<u>19</u>	High Carbonate Ash
Low Carbonate High Chloride	3	32	<u>12</u>	50	3	High Chloride Ash

Effect of Temperature on ESP Current Voltage Curve



Effect of Temperature Collection Efficiency



Effect of Composition on Collection Efficiency



Moisture Content Results



Done at 25°C

Effect of Moisture Content on Efficiency



Relevance of Results to Industrial Application

Increasing firing load

- More black liquor burned to keep up with process
- Requires higher combustion air volume
- Leads to higher temperature in boiler bed
- Higher carbonate content

Comparison with resistivity results

Effect of Increasing Firing Load on Efficiency



Fraction of Mass Exiting ESP at Higher Firing Load

Fraction Exiting ESP = (100 - Collection Efficiency)



Effect of Increasing Black Liquor flow on Emissions

When accounting for flowrate difference, emissions increase even more



Resistivity With Temperature

From experience, higher efficiency associated with lower resistivity.



Efficiency and Resistivity Correlation

Correlation changes with temperature



Efficiency and ESP Current

Efficiency correlates better with current



Conclusion

Effect of composition:

- Low Carbonate, low chloride ash highest efficiency
- High chloride lowest efficiency

Effect of Temperature:

Collection efficiency increases with temperature

Effect of moisture content:

- Collection efficiency increases with increasing moisture content
- Increasing firing load leads to significant increase in emissions (up to 10 times)
- Resistivity is not the only factor affecting efficiency

Thank You